THE DIFFERENCE MATRICES OF GENERALIZED FIBONACCI AND LUCAS SEQUENCES

IK-PYO KIM

ABSTRACT. The difference sequence $\Delta \mathbf{a} = (\Delta a_0, \Delta a_1, \Delta a_2, \ldots)^T$ of a sequence $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots)^T$ is defined by $\Delta a_i = a_{i+1} - a_i$ for each $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ Let $\Delta^i \mathbf{a} = (\Delta^i a_0, \Delta^i a_1, \Delta^i a_2, \ldots)^T$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, be the ith difference sequence defined inductively by $\Delta^i \mathbf{a} = \Delta(\Delta^{i-1} \mathbf{a})$ where $\Delta^0 \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}$. Let $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{a}} = [m_{ij}], (i, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$ denote the difference matrix of \mathbf{a} whose ith row is the transpose of the ith difference sequence and let $\mathbb{H}^{\mathbf{a}}$ denote an infinite Hankel matrix with \mathbf{a}^T as its first row. The entries in $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{a}}$ satisfy the Γ -law defined by the recurrence relation $m_{i,j+1} = m_{i+1,j} + m_{ij}$. In this paper, the Γ -law is applied to examine the recurrence relations of difference sequences of $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{k,l}$, where $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{k,l}$ are (k,l)-Fibonacci and (k,l)-Lucas sequences, respectively, for positive real numbers k and l. Finally, we show that $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}} = P^{-1}\mathbb{H}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}}$ and $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} = P^{-1}\mathbb{H}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}}$, where P is the Pascal matrix.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 15B05, 11B39, 11B65.

Keywords and Phrases. (k,l)-Fibonacci sequence, (k,l)-Lucas sequence, Difference sequence, Difference matrix.

1. Introduction

Fibonacci and Lucas sequences with the Golden Mean, $\tau = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, have long been of great interest in a wide range of fields, from Architecture to, Nature, Art, and high energy particle physics, as well as in mathematics, such as in number theory and combinatorics [5]. The sequence $\mathbf{F} = (F_0, F_1, F_2, \ldots)^T$ defined by

$$F_0 = 1, F_1 = 1, F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} (n > 2)$$

is called the Fibonacci sequence and the sequence $\mathbf{L} = (L_0, L_1, L_2, \ldots)^T$ defined by

$$L_0 = 2, L_1 = 1, L_n = L_{n-1} + L_{n-2} (n \ge 2)$$

is called the Lucas sequence [1, 4]. Various generalizations of the Fibonacci and Lucas sequences have been introduced [3, 5, 6, 10]. For example, for each positive real number k, the sequence $\mathbf{F}_k = (F_{k,0}, F_{k,1}, F_{k,2}, \ldots)^T$ defined by

(1)
$$F_{k,0} = 0, F_{k,1} = 1, F_{k,n} = kF_{k,n-1} + F_{k,n-2} (n \ge 2),$$

is the k-Fibonacci sequence [5]. By applying a difference relation to the sequence, Falcon [6] introduced another generalized Fibonacci sequences as follows.

This work was supported by Daegu University Research Grant, 2012.

> **Definition 1.1.** For each positive real number k, let \mathbf{F}_k denote the k-Fibonacci sequence and let $\Delta F_{k,n} = F_{k,n+1} - F_{k,n}$ for a nonnegative integer n. The sequence

$$\Delta^i \mathbf{F}_k = (\Delta^i F_{k,0}, \Delta^i F_{k,1}, \Delta^i F_{k,2}, \ldots)^T,$$

defined inductively by $\Delta^i \mathbf{F}_k = \Delta(\Delta^{i-1} \mathbf{F}_k)$, where $\Delta^0 \mathbf{F}_k = \mathbf{F}_k$, is called the ith difference sequence of \mathbf{F}_k for $i \geq 0$. For brevity, $\Delta^i \mathbf{F}_k$ and $\Delta^i F_{k,n}$ will be denoted by $\mathbf{F}_k^{(i)}$ and $F_{k,n}^{(i)}$, respectively. The difference sequences of \mathbf{L}_k can be defined similarly.

Falcon [6] presented several formulae of the difference sequences of \mathbf{F}_k , including:

- $$\begin{split} \bullet \ F_{k,n+1}^{(i)} &= kF_{k,n}^{(i)} + F_{k,n-1}^{(i)}. \\ \bullet \ F_{k,n}^{(i)} &= (k-1)F_{k,n}^{(i-1)} + F_{k,n-1}^{(i-1)}. \\ \bullet \ \sum_{j=0}^n F_{k,j}^{(i)} &= F_{k,n+1}^{(i-1)} F_{k,0}^{(i-1)}. \end{split}$$

Furthermore, he investigated the first terms of the difference sequences of \mathbf{F}_k , which are applied to provide the k-Fibonacci Newton polynomial interpolation with its generating functions. Motivated by (1) and Definition 1.1, we consider generalizations of k-Fibonacci (Lucas) and the difference sequences of k-Fibonacci (Lucas) sequences. Spinadel introduced these generalizations [11] as a secondary Fibonacci sequence.

Definition 1.2. For a pair of positive real numbers k and l, the sequence

$$\mathbf{F}_{k,l} = (F_{(k,l),0}, F_{(k,l),1}, F_{(k,l),2}, \dots)^T$$

is called the (k, l)-Fibonacci sequence defined by

 $F_{(k,l),0} = 0, \ F_{(k,l),1} = 1, \ F_{(k,l),n} = kF_{(k,l),n-1} + lF_{(k,l),n-2} \ (n \ge 2)$ and the sequence

$$\mathbf{L}_{k,l} = (L_{(k,l),0}, L_{(k,l),1}, L_{(k,l),2}, \ldots)^T$$

is called the (k, l)-Lucas sequence defined by

 $L_{(k,l),0} = 2$, $L_{(k,l),1} = 1$, $L_{(k,l),n} = kL_{(k,l),n-1} + lL_{(k,l),n-2}$ $(n \ge 2)$.

From (2) and (3), it readily follows that

(4)
$$F_{(k,l),n} = \frac{\sigma_1^n - \sigma_2^n}{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2}$$

and

(5)
$$L_{(k,l),n} = \frac{1 - 2\sigma_2}{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2} \sigma_1^n - \frac{1 - 2\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2} \sigma_2^n,$$

where $\sigma_1 = \frac{k + \sqrt{k^2 + 4l}}{2}$ and $\sigma_2 = \frac{k - \sqrt{k^2 + 4l}}{2}$, respectively.

Definition 1.3. The sequence

$$\Delta^{i}\mathbf{F}_{k,l} = (\Delta^{i}F_{(k,l),0}, \Delta^{i}F_{(k,l),1}, \Delta^{i}F_{(k,l),2}, \ldots)^{T},$$

defined inductively by $\Delta^i \mathbf{F}_{k,l} = \Delta(\Delta^{i-1} \mathbf{F}_{k,l})$, where $\Delta^0 \mathbf{F}_{k,l} = \mathbf{F}_{k,l}$, is called the ith difference sequence of $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$. The sequence

$$\Delta^{i}\mathbf{L}_{k,l} = (\Delta^{i}L_{(k,l),0}, \Delta^{i}L_{(k,l),1}, \Delta^{i}L_{(k,l),2}, \dots)^{T},$$

defined inductively by $\Delta^{i}\mathbf{L}_{k,l} = \Delta(\Delta^{i-1}\mathbf{L}_{k,l})$, where $\Delta^{0}\mathbf{L}_{k,l} = \mathbf{L}_{k,l}$, is called the ith difference sequence of $\mathbf{L}_{k,l}$. For brevity, $\Delta^{i}\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$ ($\Delta^{i}\mathbf{L}_{k,l}$) and $\Delta^{i}F_{(k,l),n}$ ($\Delta^{i}L_{(k,l),n}$) will be denoted by $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}^{(i)}$ ($\mathbf{L}_{k,l}^{(i)}$) and $F_{(k,l),n}^{(i)}$ ($L_{(k,l),n}^{(i)}$), respectively.

Both the Fibonacci and Lucas sequences are naturally connected to the Pascal matrix [3, 9], so we can easily guess that this would be the case for their difference sequences. It is thus enticing to investigate the relationships between the difference sequences of $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{k,l}$ and the Pascal matrix for a pair of positive real numbers k and l. The relationships allow us to obtain indepth results for the structures of (k,l)-Fibonacci and (k,l)-Lucas difference sequences. This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, general notation and definitions are given. In section 3, the recurrence relations of the difference sequences of $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{k,l}$ are examined by applying a recurrence relation among entries in their difference matrices for a pair of positive real numbers k and l. In section 4, the difference matrices of the (k,l)-Fibonacci and (k,l)-Lucas sequences are represented by using the Pascal matrix, a Hankel matrix, and a Toeplitz matrix, which appear in problems entailing power and trigonometric moments [7].

2. Notation and definitions

The following notation and conventions are used throughout the manuscript:

- Infinite matrices have infinite number of rows i and columns j, with $i, j \in \{0, 1, ...\}$.
- The binomial coefficient ("i choose j") is denoted by $\binom{i}{j}$ with the convention that it equals 0 when i < j or j < 0.
- $P = \left[\binom{i}{j}\right], (i, j = 0, 1, \ldots)$ denotes the (infinite) Pascal matrix.
- Infinite real sequences $\{x_n\}$ are identified with \mathbb{R}^{∞} consisting of column vectors $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2...)^T$ where \mathbb{R}^{∞} is the infinite dimensional real vector space.
- For a sequence $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)^T$, $\Delta \mathbf{a} = (\Delta a_0, \Delta a_1, \Delta a_2, \dots)^T$ denotes the difference sequence of \mathbf{a} where $\Delta a_i = a_{i+1} a_i$ for each $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ $\Delta^k \mathbf{a} = (\Delta^k a_0, \Delta^k a_1, \Delta^k a_2, \dots)^T$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, denotes the kth difference sequence defined inductively by $\Delta^k \mathbf{a} = \Delta(\Delta^{k-1} \mathbf{a})$, where $\Delta^0 \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}$. For brevity, $\Delta^k \mathbf{a}$ and $\Delta^k a_n$ are denoted by $\mathbf{a}^{(k)}$ and $a_n^{(k)}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

The following appears in [6] without proof, so we present a simple proof for completeness and later discussion.

Lemma 2.1. Let k, n be nonnegative integers. Then for $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots)^T$ in \mathbf{R}^{∞} , we have

$$a_n^{(k)} = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} a_{n+k-j}.$$

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction on k. If k = 0, then

$$a_n^{(0)} = (-1)^0 \binom{0}{0} a_n = a_n,$$

and we can commence the induction. Let $k \ge 1$. Then, since

$$a_n^{(k-1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \binom{k-1}{j} a_{n+k-1-j}$$

and $a_{n+1}^{(k-1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j {k-1 \choose j} a_{n+k-j}$ by the induction assumption, we have

$$a_n^{(k)} = a_{n+1}^{(k-1)} - a_n^{(k-1)}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \binom{k-1}{j} a_{n+k-j} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \binom{k-1}{j} a_{n+k-1-j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} a_{n+k-j},$$

and the proof is complete.

For a sequence $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots)^T \in \mathbf{R}^{\infty}$, let $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{a}}$ denote the difference matrix of \mathbf{a} defined by

(6)
$$\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{a}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}^T \\ \mathbf{a}^{(1)}^T \\ \mathbf{a}^{(2)}^T \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

and let $\mathbf{a}^* = (a_0, a_0^{(1)}, a_0^{(2)}, \dots)^T$, which is the first column of $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{a}}$, denote the dual sequence of \mathbf{a} [8]. It is well known [1] that for each $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

(7)
$$a_n = a_0 \binom{n}{0} + a_0^{(1)} \binom{n}{1} + a_0^{(2)} \binom{n}{2} + \dots + a_0^{(n)} \binom{n}{n},$$

which is used in the proof of one of our main results. For a sequence \mathbf{a} , let $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{a}} = [m_{ij}]$. Then it follows from the construction of the difference matrix of \mathbf{a} that the entries of $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{a}}$ satisfy the recurrence relation

$$(8) m_{i+1,j} + m_{ij} = m_{i,j+1}$$

for $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ As entries of $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{a}}$, the relative positions of the entries $m_{i+1,j}, m_{ij}, m_{i,j+1}$ in (8) is Γ -shaped. Thus, we call the relation the Γ -law, and we call a matrix a Γ -matrix if its entries satisfy the Γ -law. The difference matrix of a sequence is an example of Γ -matrices. The Γ -law in the difference matrix of a sequence plays a pivotal role in later discussions. We can guess that a Γ -matrix is related to a 7-matrix, which satisfies the 7-law [2]. Cheon et al. [2], showed that a 7-matrix can be represented by a Toeplitz matrix with a generalized Pascal matrix. This provides motivation to find a special matrix connected to the difference matrices. In the following lemma, the original recurrence relation of $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$, and $\mathbf{L}_{k,l}$ is preserved in their difference sequences, which Falcon showed for $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$ [6].

Lemma 2.2. Let k and l be positive real numbers. For a pair of positive integers i and n, the following holds:

(a)
$$F_{(k,l),n+1}^{(i)} = kF_{(k,l),n}^{(i)} + lF_{(k,l),n-1}^{(i)}$$
,

(b)
$$L_{(k,l),n+1}^{(i)} = kL_{(k,l),n}^{(i)} + lL_{(k,l),n-1}^{(i)}$$
.

Proof. For any positive real numbers α, β, k , and l, let $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots)^T$ denote a sequence defined by

(9)
$$a_0 = \alpha, \ a_1 = \beta, \ a_n = ka_{n-1} + la_{n-2}, \ (n \ge 2).$$

For a nonnegative integer t, the recurrence relation of **a** is preserved in $\mathbf{a}^{(1)}$ since $a_t^{(1)} = a_{t+1} - a_t$, $a_{t+1}^{(1)} = la_t + ka_{t+1} - a_{t+1}$, and $a_{t+2}^{(1)} = la_{t+1} + k(la_t + la_{t+1})$ ka_{t+1}) $-la_t - ka_{t+1} = ka_{t+1}^{(1)} + la_t^{(1)}$. This tells us that we begin with the recurrence relation (9) of a sequence a, which is preserved in the difference sequences of a, and implies the results.

We directly obtain the following result from Lemma 2.2 by means of the Γ -law. In the case of l=1, the first clause is the result from Falcon [6].

Theorem 2.3. For a pair of positive integers i and n, the following holds:

- (a) $F_{(k,l),n}^{(i)} = (k-1)F_{(k,l),n}^{(i-1)} + lF_{(k,l),n-1}^{(i-1)},$ (b) $L_{(k,l),n}^{(i)} = (k-1)L_{(k,l),n}^{(i-1)} + lL_{(k,l),n-1}^{(i-1)}$ where k and l are positive real

Proof. We only prove the last clause because the other assertion can be proven similarly. It follows from the Γ-law and Lemma 2.2 that $L_{(kl),n}^{(i)}$ + $L_{(k,l),n}^{(i-1)} = L_{(k,l),n+1}^{(i-1)} = kL_{(k,l),n}^{(i-1)} + lL_{(k,l),n-1}^{(i-1)}, \text{ which implies the last clause.} \quad \Box$

We next consider the following difference matrices of $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{k,l}$.

Definition 2.4. For positive real numbers k and l, let $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{k,l}$ be the (k,l)-Fibonacci and (k,l)-Lucas sequences, respectively. We call the two matrices $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}}$ and $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}}$ the difference matrices of $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{k,l}$, respectively.

Our goal is to investigate the recurrence relations among entries in $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}}$ and $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}}$, extending the work by [6] by applying the Γ -law to the entries in $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}}$ and $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}}$. Falcon's result [6] are the recurrence relations and sums among entries in $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,1}}$. Finally, we show that $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}} = P^{-1}\mathbb{H}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}}$ and $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} = P^{-1}\mathbb{H}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}}$ where $\mathbb{H}^{\mathbf{a}}$ is an infinite Hankel matrix with \mathbf{a}^T as its first row for a sequence a. This has direct consequences associated with the difference sequences of $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{k,l}$.

3. The difference matrices of $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{k,l}$

In this section, we show generalizations of the recurrence relations and generating functions of k-Fibonacci sequences from Falcon [6]. We begin with a simple recurrence relation for sums in $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}}$ and $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}}$, which is a direct consequence from the Γ -law.

Lemma 3.1. For positive real numbers k and l, let $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}} = [f_{ij}]$ and $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} = [f_{ij}]$ $[l_{ij}]$ for $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ Let n and t be nonnegative integers.

- (a) If $t \ge 1$, then $\sum_{j=0}^{n} f_{tj} = f_{t-1,n+1} f_{t-1,0}$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{n} l_{tj} = l_{t-1,n+1} l_{t-1,0}$
- (b) If $t \ge 0$, then $\sum_{j=0}^{n} f_{t-j,j} = f_{t-n,n+1} f_{t+1,0}$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{n} l_{t-j,j} = f_{t-n,n+1} f_{t+1,0}$

> Proof. (a) Let $t \geq 1$. By the Γ-law, we obtain $f_{tj} + f_{t-1,j} = f_{t-1,j+1}$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Thus, $\sum_{j=0}^{n} (f_{tj} + f_{t-1,j}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} f_{t-1,j+1}$, which implies that $\sum_{j=0}^{n} f_{tj} + f_{t-1,0} = f_{t-1,n+1}$. This proves the first case of part (a). For the case of $\sum_{j=0}^{n} l_{tj}$, the result is similarly obtained. (b) Using the Γ-law, the result can be proven similarly.

The following two theorems are generalizations of Lemma 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. For positive real numbers k and l, let $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}} = [f_{ij}]$ and $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} = [l_{ij}]$ for $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ Let n, p, and t be nonnegative integers with t > 1. Then we have

(a)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} {p \choose 0} f_{tj} + {p \choose 1} f_{t+1,j} + {p \choose 2} f_{t+2,j} + \dots + {p \choose p} f_{t+p,j} = f_{t-1,n+p+1} - \sum_{j=0}^{p} {p \choose j} f_{t-1+j,0},$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p} {p \choose j} f_{t-1+j,0},$$
(b)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} {p \choose 0} l_{tj} + {p \choose 1} l_{t+1,j} + {p \choose 2} l_{t+2,j} + \dots + {p \choose p} l_{t+p,j}) = l_{t-1,n+p+1} - \sum_{j=0}^{p} {p \choose j} l_{t-1+j,0}.$$

Proof. (a) The proof proceeds by induction on p with p > 0. For p = 0, the result holds according to Lemma 3.1 (a), and we commence the induction. Let p > 1. Based on the induction hypothesis, we have

(10)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} \left(\binom{p-1}{0} f_{tj} + \binom{p-1}{1} f_{t+1,j} + \dots + \binom{p-1}{p-1} f_{t+p-1,j} \right) = f_{t-1,n+p} - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{j} f_{t-1+j,0}$$

and

(11)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} \left(\binom{p-1}{0} f_{t+1,j} + \binom{p-1}{1} f_{t+2,j} + \dots + \binom{p-1}{p-1} f_{t+p,j} \right) = f_{t,n+p} - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{j} f_{t+j,0}$$

Since $\binom{p-1}{i} + \binom{p-1}{i-1} = \binom{p}{i}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$ and $f_{t-1, n+p} + f_{t, n+p} = \binom{p-1}{i}$ $f_{t-1,n+p+1}$ by the Γ -law, we obtain the desired result from (10) and (11). Clause (b) can be proven similarly.

Theorem 3.3. For positive real numbers k and l, let $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}} = [f_{ij}]$ and $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} = [l_{ij}] \text{ for } i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ Then for nonnegative integers n, p, and t,

(a)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} {p \choose j} f_{t-j,j} + {p \choose 1} f_{t-j+1,j} + {p \choose 2} f_{t-j+2,j} + \dots + {p \choose p} f_{t-j+p,j}$$

 $= f_{t-n,n+p+1} - \sum_{j=0}^{p} {p \choose j} f_{t+1+j,0},$

(b)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} {\binom{p}{0}} l_{t-j,j} + {\binom{p}{1}} l_{t-j+1,j} + {\binom{p}{2}} l_{t-j+2,j} + \dots + {\binom{p}{p}} l_{t-j+p,j}$$

= $l_{t-n,n+p+1} - \sum_{j=0}^{p} {\binom{p}{j}} l_{t+1+j,0}$.

Proof. The results can be readily proven in the same manner as the proof of Theorem 3.2.

In the following theorem, we provide generating functions for rows in $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}}$ and $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}}$.

Theorem 3.4. For positive real numbers k and l, let $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}} = [f_{ij}]$ and $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} = [l_{ij}] \text{ for } i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ Let $g_{\mathbf{F}}^i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_{ij} x^j \text{ and } g_{\mathbf{L}}^{ij}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_{ij}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty$ $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} l_{ij}x^j$ for $i=0,1,2,\ldots$ Then we have

(a)
$$g_{\mathbf{F}}^{i}(x) = \frac{(\sigma_{1}-1)^{i} - (\sigma_{2}-1)^{i} + (\sigma_{1}(\sigma_{1}-1)^{i} - \sigma_{2}(\sigma_{2}-1)^{i} - k((\sigma_{1}-1)^{i} - (\sigma_{2}-1)^{i}))x}{(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2})(1-kx-kx^{2})},$$

(a)
$$g_{\mathbf{F}}^{i}(x) = \frac{(\sigma_{1}-1)^{i} - (\sigma_{2}-1)^{i} + (\sigma_{1}(\sigma_{1}-1)^{i} - \sigma_{2}(\sigma_{2}-1)^{i} - k((\sigma_{1}-1)^{i} - (\sigma_{2}-1)^{i}))x}{(\sigma_{1} - \sigma_{2})(1 - kx - lx^{2})},$$

(b) $g_{\mathbf{L}}^{i}(x) = \frac{s(\sigma_{1}-1)^{i} - t(\sigma_{2}-1)^{i} + (s\sigma_{1}(\sigma_{1}-1)^{i} - t\sigma_{2}(\sigma_{2}-1)^{i} - k(s(\sigma_{1}-1)^{i} - t(\sigma_{2}-1)^{i}))x}{(\sigma_{1} - \sigma_{2})(1 - kx - lx^{2})}$
where $\sigma_{1} = \frac{k + \sqrt{k^{2} + 4l}}{2}$ and $\sigma_{2} = \frac{k - \sqrt{k^{2} + 4l}}{2}$ with $1 - 2\sigma_{2} = s$ and $1 - 2\sigma_{1} = t$.

Proof. (a) For each i > 0,

$$g_{\mathbf{F}}^{i}(x) = f_{i0} + f_{i1}x + f_{i2}x^{2} + f_{i3}x^{3} + \dots + f_{in}x^{n} + \dots,$$

$$kxg_{\mathbf{F}}^{i}(x) = kf_{i0}x + kf_{i1}x^{2} + kf_{i2}x^{3} + \dots + kf_{i-1,n}x^{n} + \dots,$$

$$lx^{2}g_{\mathbf{F}}^{i}(x) = lf_{i0}x^{2} + lf_{i1}x^{3} + \dots + lf_{i-2,n}x^{n} + \dots.$$

From Lemmas 2.2 and 13, it follows that $g_{\mathbf{F}}^i(x) - kxg_{\mathbf{F}}^i(x) - lx^2g_{\mathbf{F}}^i(x) =$ $f_{i0} + (f_{i1} - kf_{i0})x$, which implies that $g_{\mathbf{F}}^{i}(x) = \frac{f_{i0} + (f_{i1} - kf_{i0})x}{1 - kx - lx^{2}}$. By Lemma 2.1 and (4), we obtain: For i = 0, 1, 2, ...,

$$f_{i0} = \frac{1}{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2} \sum_{i=0}^{i} (-1)^j \binom{i}{j} (\sigma_1^{i-j} - \sigma_2^{i-j}) = \frac{(\sigma_1 - 1)^i - (\sigma_2 - 1)^i}{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2}.$$

By applying the Γ -law to f_{i1} , the result follows. Using Lemma 2.1 and (5), clause (b) can be proven similarly.

By applying the Γ -law, we determine a recurrence relation for the consecutive three entries in a column of $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}}$ and $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}}$ as follows, which also generalizes the result of Falcon [6].

Lemma 3.5. For positive real numbers k and l, let $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}} = [f_{ij}]$ and $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} = [f_{ij}]$ $[l_{ij}]$ for $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ Then we have

(a)
$$f_{i+2,j} = (l+k-1)f_{ij} + (k-2)f_{i+1,j}$$
.
(b) $l_{i+2,j} = (l+k-1)l_{ij} + (k-2)l_{i+1,j}$.

(b)
$$l_{i+2,j} = (l+k-1)l_{i,j} + (k-2)l_{i+1,j}$$
.

Proof. (a) Based on the Γ -law and Lemma 2.2, we obtain $f_{ij} + f_{i+1,j} = f_{i,j+1}$ and $lf_{ij} + kf_{i,j+1} = f_{i,j+1} + f_{i+1,j+1}$. Thus, $f_{i+2,j} = f_{i+1,j+1} - f_{i+1,j} = lf_{ij} + kf_{i,j+1} - f_{i,j+1} - f_{i+1,j} = (l+k-1)f_{ij} + (k-2)f_{i+1,j}$. Clause (b) can be proven similarly.

As indicated in Lemma 3.5, a form of (k, l)-Fibonacci (Lucas) sequences results in (l+k-1, k-2)-Fibonacci (Lucas) sequences by constructing $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}}$ and $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}}$ from $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{k,l}$, respectively. Using Lemma 3.5, we provide generating functions for columns in $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}}$ and $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}}$ as follows.

Theorem 3.6. For positive real numbers k and l, let $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}} = [f_{ij}]$ and $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} = [l_{ij}] \text{ for } i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ Let $g_{\mathbf{F}}^{j}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_{ij} x^{j} \text{ and } g_{\mathbf{L}}^{j}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} l_{ij} x^{j} \text{ for } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ Then we have:

(a)
$$g_{\mathbf{F}}^{j}(x) = \frac{\sigma_{1}^{j} - \sigma_{2}^{j} + (\sigma_{1}^{j}(\sigma_{1} - 1) - \sigma_{2}^{j}(\sigma_{2} - 1) - (k - 2)(\sigma_{1}^{j} - \sigma_{2}^{j}))x}{(\sigma_{1} - \sigma_{2})(1 - (k - 2)x - (l + k - 1)x^{2})},$$

(b) $g_{\mathbf{L}}^{j}(x) = \frac{s\sigma_{1}^{j} - t\sigma_{2}^{j} + (s\sigma_{1}^{j}(\sigma_{1} - 1) - t\sigma_{2}^{j}(\sigma_{2} - 1) - (k - 2)(s\sigma_{1}^{j} - t\sigma_{2}^{j}))x}{(\sigma_{1} - \sigma_{2})(1 - (k - 2)x - (l + k - 1)x^{2})}$

(b)
$$g_{\mathbf{L}}^{j}(x) = \frac{s\sigma_{1}^{j} - t\sigma_{2}^{j} + (s\sigma_{1}^{j}(\sigma_{1} - 1) - t\sigma_{2}^{j}(\sigma_{2} - 1) - (k - 2)(s\sigma_{1}^{j} - t\sigma_{2}^{j}))x}{(\sigma_{1} - \sigma_{2})(1 - (k - 2)x - (l + k - 1)x^{2})}$$

where $\sigma_1 = \frac{k + \sqrt{k^2 + 4l}}{2}$ and $\sigma_2 = \frac{k - \sqrt{k^2 + 4l}}{2}$ with $1 - 2\sigma_2 = s$ and $1 - 2\sigma_1 = t$.

Proof. (a) For each j > 0,

$$g_{\mathbf{F}}^{j}(x) = f_{0j} + f_{1j}x + f_{2j}x^{2} + f_{3j}x^{3} + \cdots + f_{nj}x^{n} + \cdots,$$

$$(k-2)xg_{\mathbf{F}}^{j}(x) = (k-2)f_{0j}x + (k-2)f_{1j}x^{2} + (k-2)f_{2j}x^{3} + \cdots$$

$$+ (k-2)f_{n-1,j}x^{n} + \cdots,$$

$$(l+k-1)x^{2}g_{\mathbf{F}}^{j}(x) = (l+k-1)f_{0j}x^{2} + (l+k-1)f_{1j}x^{3} + \cdots$$

$$+ (l+k-1)f_{n-2,j}x^{n} + \cdots.$$

From Lemma 3.5, it follows that $g_{\mathbf{F}}^j(x) - (k-2)xg_{\mathbf{F}}^j(x) - (l+k-1)x^2g_{\mathbf{F}}^j(x) = f_{0j} + (f_{1j} - (k-2)f_{0j})x$, which implies that $g_{\mathbf{F}}^j(x) = \frac{f_{0j} + (f_{1j} - (k-2)f_{0j})x}{1 - (k-2)x - (l+k-1)x^2}$. Thus, the result can be obtained from (4). Using (5), clause (b) can be proven similarly.

4. The structure of $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}}$ and $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}}$

In this section, we investigate the structure of $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}}$ and $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}}$ and the relationship between these two matrices and the Pascal matrix using Hankel and Toeplitz matrices. For a sequence $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots)^T$, let $\mathbb{H}^{\mathbf{c}}$ denote the infinite Hankel matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_{n-1} & c_n & \cdots \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \cdots & c_n & c_{n+1} & \cdots \\ c_2 & c_3 & c_4 & \cdots & c_{n+1} & c_{n+2} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c_{n-1} & c_n & c_{n+1} & \cdots & c_{2n-2} & c_{2n-1} \\ c_n & c_{n+1} & c_{n+2} & \cdots & c_{2n-1} & c_{2n} & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $K_n = [k_{ij}], (i, j = 0, 1, 2, ..., n)$ denote the $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ reversal matrix [7] defined by

$$k_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j = n - i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

 $K_n \mathbb{H}_n^{\mathbf{c}}$ and $\mathbb{H}_n^{\mathbf{c}} K_n$ are Toeplitz matrices [7], where $\mathbb{H}_n^{\mathbf{c}}$ is the leading $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ principal submatrix of $\mathbb{H}^{\mathbf{c}}$. The difference matrix of a sequence is naturally related to the Pascal matrix P with an infinite Hankel matrix.

Lemma 4.1. Let P denote the Pascal matrix and let $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots)^T$. Then,

$$\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{a}} = P^{-1}\mathbb{H}^{\mathbf{a}} = DPD\mathbb{H}^{\mathbf{a}}$$

where D = diag(1, -1, 1, -1, ...).

Proof. For each pair of nonnegative integers i, j = 0, 1, 2, ..., we have

$$(P\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{a}})_{ij} = a_j \binom{i}{0} + a_j^{(1)} \binom{i}{1} + a_j^{(2)} \binom{i}{2} + \dots + a_j^{(i)} \binom{i}{i} = a_{i+j}$$

by (7). Since $a_{i+j} = (\mathbb{H}^{\mathbf{a}})_{ij}$ and $P^{-1} = DPD$, the result follows.

The following two results, shed more light on the combinatorial relationship between the difference sequences of $\mathbf{F}_{k,l}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{k,l}$, and are direct consequences of Lemma 4.1.

Theorem 4.2. Let P denote the Pascal matrix. Then we have:

- (a) $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}} = P^{-1} \mathbb{H}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}}$.
- (b) $\mathbb{M}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} = P^{-1} \mathbb{H}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}}$

Corollary 4.3. Let P_n denote the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ Pascal matrix for n=1 $0, 1, 2, \ldots$ Then we have:

(a)
$$\mathbb{M}_{n}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}} = P_{n}^{-1} \mathbb{H}_{n}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}} = P_{n}^{-1} K_{n} \mathbb{T}_{n}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}},$$

(b) $\mathbb{M}_{n}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} = P_{n}^{-1} \mathbb{H}_{n}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} = P_{n}^{-1} K_{n} \mathbb{T}_{n}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}},$

(b)
$$\mathbb{M}_{n}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} = P_{n}^{-1} \mathbb{H}_{n}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} = P_{n}^{-1} K_{n} \mathbb{T}_{n}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}}$$

where for a sequence $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots)^T$, and $\mathbb{T}_n^{\mathbf{c}}$ is a Toeplitz matrix defined bu

$$\mathbb{T}_{n}^{\mathbf{c}} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{n} & c_{n+1} & c_{n+2} & \cdots & c_{2n-1} & c_{2n} \\ c_{n-1} & c_{n} & c_{n+1} & \cdots & c_{2n-2} & c_{2n-1} \\ c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_{n} & \cdots & c_{2n-3} & c_{2n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c_{1} & c_{2} & c_{3} & \cdots & c_{n} & c_{n+1} \\ c_{0} & c_{1} & c_{2} & \cdots & c_{n-1} & c_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

with $K_n \mathbb{H}_n^{\mathbf{c}} = \mathbb{T}_n^{\mathbf{c}}$.

The following result associates the difference sequences of the (k,l)-Fibonacci and (k, l)-Lucas sequences.

Corollary 4.4. Let P_n denote the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ Pascal matrix for n =

(a)
$$\mathbb{M}_{n}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}} \mathbb{M}_{n}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} = P_{n}^{-1} \mathbb{H}_{n}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}} P_{n}^{-1} \mathbb{H}_{n}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} = P_{n}^{-1} K_{n} \mathbb{T}_{n}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}} P_{n}^{-1} K_{n} \mathbb{T}_{n}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}},$$

(b) $\mathbb{M}_{n}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} \mathbb{M}_{n}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}} = P_{n}^{-1} \mathbb{H}_{n}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} P_{n}^{-1} \mathbb{H}_{n}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}} = P_{n}^{-1} K_{n} \mathbb{T}_{n}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} P_{n}^{-1} K_{n} \mathbb{T}_{n}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}}.$

(b)
$$\mathbb{M}_{n}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} \mathbb{M}_{n}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}} = P_{n}^{-1} \mathbb{H}_{n}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} P_{n}^{-1} \mathbb{H}_{n}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}} = P_{n}^{-1} K_{n} \mathbb{T}_{n}^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}} P_{n}^{-1} K_{n} \mathbb{T}_{n}^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}}$$

Proof. This directly follows from Corollary 4.3.

We conclude this section with the following direct consequences from the previous three results.

- $P_n \mathbb{M}_n^{\mathbf{F}_{k,l}}$ and $P_n \mathbb{M}_n^{\mathbf{L}_{k,l}}$ are symmetric for $n=0,1,2,\ldots$ For a pair of nonnegative integers i and j, $F_{(k,l),i+j}=\binom{i+t}{0}F_{(k,l),j-t}+$ ${\binom{i+t}{1}}F_{(k,l),j-t}^{(1)} + \dots + {\binom{i+t}{i+t}}F_{(k,l),j-t}^{(i+t)} \ (t=0,1,\dots,n-i).$
- For a pair of nonnegative integers i and j, $L_{(k,l),i+j} = \binom{i+t}{0}L_{(k,l),j-t} + \binom{i+t}{1}L_{(k,l),j-t}^{(1)} + \cdots + \binom{i+t}{i+t}L_{(k,l),j-t}^{(i+t)}$ $(t=0,1,\ldots,n-i)$.
 For a pair of nonnegative integers i and j,

$$\sum_{z=0}^{n} F_{(k,l),z}^{(i)} L_{(k,l),j}^{(z)} = \sum_{z=0}^{n} S_{t=0 \to i}^{F_{(k,l),z}} S_{t=0 \to z}^{L_{(k,l),j}}$$

where

$$S_{t=0\to i}^{F_{(k,l),z}} = \sum_{t=0}^{i} (-1)^{i+t} {i \choose t} F_{(k,l),z+t}$$

and

$$S_{t=0\to z}^{L_{(k,l),j}} = \sum_{t=0}^{z} (-1)^{z+t} \binom{z}{t} L_{(k,l),j+t}.$$

• For a pair of nonnegative integers i and j,

$$\sum_{z=0}^{n} L_{(k,l),z}^{(i)} F_{(k,l),j}^{(z)} = \sum_{z=0}^{n} S_{t=0 \to i}^{L_{(k,l),z}} S_{t=0 \to z}^{F_{(k,l),j}}$$

where

$$S_{t=0\to i}^{L_{(k,l),z}} = \sum_{t=0}^{i} (-1)^{i+t} \binom{i}{t} L_{(k,l),z+t}$$

and

$$S_{t=0\to z}^{F_{(k,l),j}} = \sum_{t=0}^{z} (-1)^{z+t} \binom{z}{t} F_{(k,l),j+t}.$$

References

- [1] R.A. Brualdi, Introductory Combinatorics, fourth ed., Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, 2004.
- [2] G.-S. Cheon, S.-G. Hwang, S.-H. Rim and S.-Z. Song, Matrices determined by a recurrence relation among entries, Linear Algebra Appl., 373 (2003) 89-99.
- [3] G.-S. Choi, S.-G. Hwang, I.-P. Kim and B.L. Shader, (± 1) -Invariant sequences and truncated Fibonacci sequences, Linear Algebra Appl., 395 (2005) 303-312.
- [4] L. Comtet, Advanced Combinatorics, Reidel, Dordrechet, 1974.
- [5] S. Falcon, A. Plaza, On the Fibonacci k-numbers, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 32 (2007) 1615-1624.
- [6] S. Falcon, The k-Fibonacci difference sequences, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 87 (2016) 153-157.
- [7] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis, second ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [8] I.-P. Kim, A simple proof of a difference sequence Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 42 (2005) 851-853.
- [9] I.-P. Kim, M.J. Tsatsomeros, Pascal eigenspaces and invariant sequences of the first or second kind, Preprint.
- [10] G.-Y. Lee, G.-S. Kim, The linear algebra of k-Fibonacci matrix, Linear Algebra Appl., 373 (2003) 75-87.
- [11] V.W. Spinadel, The metallic means family and mutifractal spectra, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Appl., 36 (1999) 721-745.

Department of Mathematics Education, Daegu University, Gyeongbuk, 38453, Republic of Korea

E-mail address: kimikpyo@daegu.ac.kr